

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/587,581	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BURCHHARDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nathan Hillery	<b>Art Unit</b> 2176	

**All Participants:**

(1) Nathan Hillery.

(2) David Mckenzie.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 January 2005

**Time:** 2:00 pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1,11,21

Prior art documents discussed:

Stewart, Blackman et al., W3C


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Attorney agrees with proposed amendments by the examiner.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)